

Panaji, 11th December, 2003 (Agrahayana 20, 1925)

SERIES II No. 37

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Note: There are two Extraordinary issues to the Official Gazette, Series II, No. 36 dated 4-12-2003 as follows:-

- 1) Extraordinary dated 4-12-2003 from pages 1065 to 1066 regarding Order from Department of Science, Technology & Environment and Notification from Department of Social Welfare (Directorate of Social Welfare).
- 2) Extraordinary No. 2 dated 5-12-2003 from pages 1067 to 1068 regarding Order from Department of Education, Art & Culture (Directorate of Education) and Notification from Department of Finance (Revenue & Control Division).

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Agriculture

Directorate of Agriculture

Order

No. 2/9/95/AGR/(Part)/249

On the recommendation of Goa Public Service Commission conveyed by letter No. COM/II/11/2(1)/93 dated 23-10-2003, Government of Goa is pleased to promote Shri Sadanand Harmalkar, Technical Assistant Group 'C' non Gazetted to the post of Mechanical Cultivation Officer (Group 'B' Gazetted) in the pay scale of Rs. 6,500-200-10,500 on regular basis against the vacancy caused due to promotion of Shri Anant Chodankar and posted at Mechanical Cultivation Office, Mapusa with immediate effect.

Shri Sadanand Harmalkar may exercise his option if desired within one month for fixation of his pay under F.R. 22(I)(a)(i).

Shri Harmalkar will be on probation for a period of 2 years.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. G. Joshi, Director of Agriculture & Ex-officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 27th November, 2003.

Order

No. 2/9/95/AGR/(Part)/250

On the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee conveyed by Goa Public Service Commission vide letter No. COM/II/11/2(3)/93 dated 22-10-2003, Government is pleased to promote on regular basis, the following Assistant Agricultural Officers to the posts of Agricultural Officer in the Directorate of Agriculture in the pay scale of Rs. 6,500-200-10,500 with immediate effect and posted as shown below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer	Place of posting
1	2	3
1.	Smt. Shoban Ugvekar	Agricultural Officer (Publication), Directorate of Agriculture, Head Quarter vice Girish Kamat promoted.
2.	Shri Dilip Paranjape	Agricultural Officer (Extension), Directorate of Agriculture, Head Quarter against the vacant post due to superannuation of Shri P. G. Mahajan.
3.	Smt. Reena Menezes	Agricultural Officer (Information) Farmers Training Centre, Ela, Old Goa, due to promotion of Shri K. G. Chothe.
4.	Smt. Sheela Tiwari	Farm Superintendent, Codar, Ponda Goa vice Shri V. D. Deshmukh promoted.

The above Officers may exercise their option if desired within one month for fixation of their pay under F.R. 22(I)(a)(i).

They will be on probation for a period of 2 years.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. G. Joshi, Director of Agriculture & Ex-officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 27th November, 2003.

Order

No. 2/9/95/AGR/(Part)/251

On the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee conveyed by Goa Public Service Commission vide letter No. COM/II/11/2(4)/92 dated 22-10-2003, Government is pleased to promote on regular basis, the following Agricultural Officers to the post of Assistant Director of Agriculture in the Directorate of Agriculture in the pay scale of Rs. 8,000-275-13,500 with immediate effect and posted as shown below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer	Place of posting
1	2	3
1.	Shri Girish D. Kamat	Assistant Director of Agriculture (Horticulture) at H. O.
2.	Shri V. D. Deshmukh	Assistant Director of Agriculture (Extension) at H. O.

The above Officers may exercise their option if desired within one month for fixation of their pay under F. R. 22(I)(a)(i).

They will be on probation for a period of 2 years.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. G. Joshi, Director of Agriculture & Ex-officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 27th November, 2003.

Order

No. 2/9/95/AGR/(Part)/252

On the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee conveyed by Goa Public Service Commission vide letter No. COM/II/11/2(1)/98 dated 27-10-2003, Government is pleased to promote on regular basis, the following Assistant Director of Agriculture to the post of Dy. Director of Agriculture in the Directorate of Agriculture in the pay scale of Rs. 10,000-325-15,200 with immediate effect and posted as shown below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer	Place of posting
1	2	3
1.	Shri R. A. Chowgule	Dy. Director of Agriculture (Agronomy).
2.	Shri H. A. Patil	Dy. Director of Agriculture (CADA) on deputation at Water Resources Department, Gogal, Margao.

The above Officers may exercise their option if desired within one month for fixation of their pay under F. R. 22(I)(a)(i).

They will be on probation for a period of 2 years.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. G. Joshi, Director of Agriculture & Ex-officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 27th November, 2003.

Department of Co-operation

Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Notification

No. 5-577-1996/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Pancharatna Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Margao-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-124/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 1st February, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

The Pancharatna Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Margao-Goa, has been registered on 1-2-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-124/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 1st February, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-578-1996/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Sapana Enclave Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-125/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 1st February, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Sapana Enclave Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama-Goa, has been registered on 1-1-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-125/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 1st February, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-579-1996-ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Vighneshwar Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama, Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-126/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 14th February, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Vighneshwar Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama, Goa has been registered on 14-2-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-126/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 14th February, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-580-1996-ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Akshadeep Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Margao-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-127/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 15th February, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Akshadeep Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Margao-Goa, has been registered on 15-2-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-127/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 15th February, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-575-1996-ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Nileshtar Co-operative

Housing Society Ltd., Margao-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-122/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 31st January, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Nileshtar Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Margao-Goa, has been registered on 31-1-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-122/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 31st January, 1996.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/304/ADM/95

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9(1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Jwala Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Upper Bazar, Ponda-Goa is registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/308-(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 12th February, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Jwala Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Upper Bazar, Ponda-Goa has been registered on 12-2-1996 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG-308-(b)/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5 (b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 12th February, 1996.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/319/ADM/95

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, the Rajniketan Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Tiska-Ponda-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/309-(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 14th February, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

The Rajniketan Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Tiska-Ponda-Goa, is registered on 14-2-1996 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/309-(b)/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. "5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 14th February, 1996.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/329/ADM/96

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9(1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Shree Ram Purush Sai Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Bandora-Ponda-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/310-(a)/Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 15th February, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Shree Ram Purush Sai Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Bandora-Ponda-Goa is registered on 15-2-1996 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/310-(a)/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5(a) "Tenant Ownership Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 15th February, 1996.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/328/ADM/96

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Shree Katamgal Dada Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Bandora-Ponda Goa, is registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/311-(a)/Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 15th February, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Shree Katamgal Dada Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Bandora-Ponda-Goa is registered on 15-2-1996 and

it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/311-(a)/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5(a) "Tenant Ownership Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 15th February, 1996.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/284/ADM/94

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, the Durga Prasad Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Nagzar, Curti, Ponda, Goa is registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/278-(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 19th February, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

The Durga Prasad Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Nagzar, Curti, Ponda, Goa is registered on 19-2-1996 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/278-(b)/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5(b) "Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 19th February, 1996.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/260/ADM/93

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9(1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, The Shriji Apartment Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., St. Inez, Tonca Road, Caranzalem, Tiswadi-Goa is registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/289-(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 20th February, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

The Shriji Apartment Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., St. Inez, Tonca Road, Caranzalem, Tiswadi-Goa is registered on 20-2-1996 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/289-(b)/Goa and it is Classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification

No. 5(b)-"Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 20th February, 1996.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/CONS-34/ADM/96

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9(1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, The Dhulapi Consumers Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Dhulapi-Corlim, Ilhas-Goa is registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/Cons-31/Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 8th January, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

The Dhulapi Consumers Co-operative Society Ltd., Dhulapi-Corlim, Ilhas, Goa has been registered on 8-1-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/Cons-31/Goa and it is classified as "Consumers Society" under classification No. 2 in terms of Rule 9(1) of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 8th January, 1996.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/299/ADM/95

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9(1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, The Parnakuti Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Vishwambar Nagar, Dhavali, Ponda-Goa is registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/307-(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 9th January, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

The Parnakuti Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Vishwambar Nagar, Dhavali, Ponda-Goa is registered on 9-1-1996 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/307-(b)/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5 (b)- "Tenant Co-

-partnership Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 9th January, 1996.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/306/ADM/95

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9(1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Shree Mahalsa Amba Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Vishwambar Nagar, Ponda-Goa is registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/306-(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 11th January, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Shree Mahalsa Amba Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Vishwambar Nagar, Ponda-Goa is registered on 11-1-1996 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/306-(b)/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5 (b)-"Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 11th January, 1996.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/Cons-35/ADM/96

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9(1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, the Old Goa Consumers Co-operative Society Ltd., Old-Goa, Ilhas, Goa is registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/Cons-32/Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 19th January, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

The Old Goa Consumers Co-operative Society Ltd., Old-Goa, Ilhas, Goa has been registered on 19-1-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/Cons-32/Goa and it is classified as "Consumers Society" under classification No. 2 in terms of Rule 9(1) of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (D. M. Pathan), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 19th January, 1996.

Order

No. 48-1-90/TS/978

- Read:- 1. This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/266 dated 14-3-1995.
2. Letter dated 14-2-1996 from Shri Satish V. Prabhugaonkar, R's Nominee Bicholim.
3. Letter No. AR/NZ/R's Nominee/SVP/90/3945 dated 8-3-96 from the Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, North Zone, Mapusa Goa.

By virtue of powers delegated to me vide Government Order No. 42-4-90/TS dated 21-5-93 and in terms of sub-section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa read with sub-rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962, I, G. S. Patil, Dy. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri Satish V. Prabhugaonkar, Adv. Bicholim as R's Nominee for deciding the dispute arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred by the Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, North Zone, Mapusa for further period of one year with effect from 1-4-96 to 31-3-97.

G. S. Patil, Dy. Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

27th March, 1996.

Order

No. 48-1-90/TS/979

- Read:- 1. This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/265 dated 14-3-1995.
2. Letter dated 13-2-1996 from Shri Ganaraj S. Shirsat, Registrar's Nominee, Margao.
3. Letter No. 16-13-95/ARSZ/R's Nominee/ /GSS/96/1774 dated 8-3-96 from Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, South Zone, Margao-Goa.

By virtue of powers delegated to me vide Government order No. 42-4-90/TS dated 21-5-93 and in terms of sub-section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa read with sub-rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962, I, G. S. Patil, Dy. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri Ganaraj S. Shirsat, Advocate, Margao as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the dispute arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred by the Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, South Zone, Margao for further period of one year with effect from 1-4-96 to 31-3-1997.

G. S. Patil, Dy. Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

27th March, 1996.

Order

No. 48-1-90/TS/980

- Read:- 1. This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/202 dated 6-3-1995.
2. Letter dated 22-2-1996 from Shri B. S. Gaonkar, Registrar's Nominee, Mapusa.
3. Letter No. AR/NZ/Registrar's Nominee/ /BSG/90/VOL. I dated 8-3-96 from the Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, North Zone, Mapusa-Goa.

By virtue of powers delegated to me vide Government order No. 42-4-90/TS dated 21-5-93 and in terms of sub-section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa read with sub-rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962, I, G. S. Patil, Dy. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri B. S. Gaonkar, Advocate, Mapusa as Registrar's Nominee, for deciding the dispute arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred by the Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, North Zone, Mapusa for further period of one year with effect from 1-4-96 to 31-3-1997.

G. S. Patil, Dy. Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

27th March, 1996.

Order

No. 48-1-90/TS/991

- Read:- Letter dated 19-8-1995 from Adv. Rajan D. Naik, Vasco-da-Gama.

By virtue of powers delegated to me vide Government order No. 42-4-90/TS dated 21-5-93 and in terms of sub-section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa read with sub-rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962, I, G. S. Patil, Dy. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Goa am pleased to appoint Adv. Shri Rajan D. Naik Vasco-da-Gama as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the dispute arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred by the Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, South Zone, Margao with immediate effect till 31-3-1997.

G. S. Patil, Dy. Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

29th March, 1996.

Order

No. 48-1-90/TS/981

- Read:- 1. This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/205 dated 6-3-1995.
2. Letter dated 8-1-1996 from Shri Francis S. B. Pires, Registrar's Nominee, Panaji.

3. Letter No. 44/214/98/ABN/ARCZ/94-95 dated 9-2-1996 from Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Central Zone, Panaji.

By virtue of powers delegated to me vide Government order No. 42-4-90/TS dated 21-5-93 and in terms of sub-section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa read with sub-rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962, I, G. S. Patil, Dy. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri Francis S. B. Pires, Advocate Panaji as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the dispute arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred by the Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Central Zone, Panaji for further period of one year with effect from 1-4-96 to 31-3-1997.

G. S. Patil, Dy. Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

27th March, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-586-1996/ARSZ/GEN

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Nagve-Malkarne Pani Vantap Vyavastha Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Quepem-Goa is registered under code symbol No. 9-GEN-(C)-16/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 21st March, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

The Nagve-Malkarne Pani Vantap Vyavastha Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Quepem-Goa has been registered on 21-3-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. 9-GEN-(C)-16/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "General Society" under sub-classification No. 9-(C)-Others of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 21st March, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-588-1996/ARSZ/GEN

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Akamol Pani Vantap Vyavastha Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Akamol, Ambaulim Quepem-Goa is registered under code symbol No. 9-GEN-(c)-18/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 21st March, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

The Akamol Pani Vantap Vyavastha Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Akamol, Ambaulim, Quepem-Goa has been registered on 21-3-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. 9-GEN-(C)-16/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "General Society" under sub-classification No. 9-(C)-Others of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 21st March, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-587-1996/ARSZ/GEN

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Jamu Sarad Pani Vantap Vyavastha Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Cacoda-Quepem-Goa is registered under code symbol No. 9-GEN-(C)-17/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 21st March, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Jamu Sarad Pani Vantap Vyavastha Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Cacoda, Quepem-Goa has been registered on 21-3-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. 9-GEN-(C)-17/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "General Society" under sub-classification No. 9-(C)-Others of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 21st March, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-591-1996/ARSZ/GEN

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Deulmol Shrivoi Pani Vantap Vyavastha Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Quepem-Goa is registered under code symbol No. 9-GEN-(C)-20/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 22nd March, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Deulmol Shrivoi Pani Vantap Vyavastha Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Quepem-Goa has been registered

on 22-3-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. 9-GEN-(C)-20/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "General Society" under sub-classification No. 9-(C)-Others of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 22nd March, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-589-1996/ARSZ/GEN

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Avedem Pani Vantap Vyavastha Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Avedem-Quepem-Goa is registered under code symbol No. 9-GEN-(C)-19/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 21st March, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Avedem Pani Vantap Vyavastha Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Avedem, Quepem-Goa has been registered on 21-3-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. 9-GEN-(C)-19/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "General Society" under sub-classification No. 9-(C)-Others of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 21st March, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-582-1996/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Neelgagan Apartment Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Arlem, Raia, Salcete-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-129/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 1st March, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Neelgagan Apartment Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Arlem, Raia, Salcete-Goa has been registered on 1-3-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-129/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-

Tenant Co-Partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 1st March, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-585-1996/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Lakshiyot Complex Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-132/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 13th March, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Lakshiyot Complex Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama-Goa has been registered on 13-3-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-132/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 13th March, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-584-1996/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Raj Vihar Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Margao-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-131/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 13th March, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Raj Vihar Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Margao, Goa has been registered on 13-3-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-131/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 13th March, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-583-1996/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Kasturi Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Fatorda, Margao-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-130/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 13th March, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Kasturi Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Fatorda, Margao-Goa, has been registered on 13-3-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-130/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 13th March, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-581-1996/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Brindavan Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Margao-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-128/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 28th February, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Brindavan Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Margao-Goa has been registered on 28-2-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-128/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 28th February, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-590-1996/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960

as applied to the State of Goa, Bansai Plaza Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Curchorem-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-133/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 21st March, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Bansai Plaza Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Curchorem-Goa, has been registered on 21-3-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-133/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 21st March, 1996.

Notification

No. 5-592-1996/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Sapana Chambers Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Margao-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-134/South Goa/96.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 22nd March, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Sapana Chambers Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Margao-Goa, has been registered on 22-3-1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-134/South Goa/96 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 22nd March, 1996.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Shree Datta Krupa Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Baira, Alto-Porvorim, Bardez--Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-127/NZ/Goa.

Vikas Mardolkar, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 4th March, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Shree Datta Krupa Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Baira Alto-Porvorim, Bardez-Goa has been registered on 4th March, 1996 and it bears registration No. HSG-(b)-127/NZ/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5 (b) Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Vikas Mardolkar, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 4th March, 1996.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Braganza Residency Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Gaunswaddo, Mapusa-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-128/NZ/Goa.

Vikas Mardolkar, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 7th March, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Braganza Residency Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Gaunswaddo, Mapusa-Goa has been registered on 7th March, 1996 and it bears registration No. HSG-(b)-128/NZ/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5 (b) Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Vikas Mardolkar, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 7th March, 1996.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Shri Shantadurga Pani Vantap Vyavastha Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Karapur-Kothi, Sanquelim-Goa is registered under code symbol No. GEN-(C)-16/NZ/Goa.

Vikas Mardolkar, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 14th March, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Shri Shantadurga Pani Vantap Vyavastha Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Karapur-Kothi, Sanquelim-Goa has been registered on 14th March, 1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-(C)-16/NZ/Goa and it is classified as a 'General Society' under sub-classification No. 9(C)-Others, in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Vikas Mardolkar, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 14th March, 1996.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Shri Dev Schetrapal Pani Vantap Vyavastha Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Karapur-Kothi, Sanquelim-Goa is registered under code symbol No. GEN-(C)-17/NZ/Goa.

Vikas Mardolkar, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 14th March, 1996.

Certificate of Registration

Shri Dev Schetrapal Pani Vantap Vyavastha Sahakari Saunstha Maryadit, Karapur-Kothi, Sanquelim-Goa has been registered on 14th March, 1996 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-(C)-17/NZ/Goa and it is classified as a 'General Society' under sub-classification No. 9(C)-Others, in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Vikas Mardolkar, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 14th March, 1996.

Department of General Administration**Order**

No. 45/7/2003-GAD

Shri Subhash Tukaram Salkar, is appointed as Officer on Special Duty (Education) in the Office of Chief Minister, on contract basis for a period of one year with effect from 01-12-2003.

His appointment is subject to his executing the Agreement specifying the terms and conditions of the contract.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Arvind D. Loliyekar, Under Secretary (GA).

Panaji, 4th December, 2003.

Notification

No. 26/1/88-GA&C

Read:- Government order No. 6/20/97-PER dated 06-06-2003.

Consequent upon the upgradation of one post of Dy. Director of Vigilance included in the Goa Civil Service to that of Additional Director of Vigilance vide Government order cited above, the Government is pleased to declare the Additional Director of Vigilance as Ex-officio Joint Secretary to Government with immediate effect.

The Additional Director of Vigilance as Ex-officio Joint Secretary shall carry out his duties in connection with the Secretariat work directly under supervision and control of the Secretary (Vigilance) without any extra remuneration.

The Secretary (Vigilance) shall be overall in charge of Directorate of Vigilance and all matters not within the competence of the Ex-officio Joint Secretary shall be referred to the Secretary (Vigilance).

In his capacity as Ex-officio Joint Secretary to Government, the Additional Director of Vigilance shall sign the communications, Orders and Notifications on behalf of Government with due approval of the Secretary/Minister/Chief Minister.

The Secretary (Vigilance) shall specify the powers/duties that may be exercised by the Ex-officio Joint Secretary to Government as far as Secretariat work is concerned.

If any further clarification concerning the Secretariat work is required, the same shall be issued by the Secretary (Vigilance).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Arvind D. Loliyekar, Under Secretary (GA).

Panaji, 24th November, 2003.

Department of Home

Home-General Division

Order

No. 13/3/84-HD(G)

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 3 of the National Security Act, 1980 (Central Act 45 of 1980), the Government of Goa, being satisfied having regard to the circumstances likely to prevail in any area within the local limits of the jurisdiction of the District Magistrate, North Goa District, Panaji and District Magistrate, South Goa District, Margao, that it is necessary so to do, hereby directs that the said District Magistrates, may also, if satisfied as provided in sub-section (2) of Section 3 of the said Act, exercise the powers conferred by the said sub-section within the local limits of their jurisdiction for a period of three months from 18-12-2003.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dilip S. Chavan, Under Secretary (Home).

Panaji, 4th December, 2003.

Department of Labour

Notification

No. 28/7/2001-LAB

The following Award passed by the Industrial Tribunal of Goa at Panaji-Goa, on 20-11-2002, in reference No. IT/49/91, is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

V. R. Ghaisas, Under Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 4th December, 2002.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Ajit J. Agni, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/49/91

Workmen rep. by
The President,
Goa Trade and Commercial
Workers Union, Velho Bldg.,
2nd Floor, Panaji-Goa.

... Workman/Party I

v/s.

M/s. Tirumalesh Handling
Services, Gulmohar,
Shantinagar, Ponda-Goa.

... Employer/Party II

Union/Party I - Represented by Adv. Shri R. Mangueshkar.

Employer/Party II - Represented by Adv. Shri G. K. Sardesai.

Dated: 20-11-2002.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Goa by order dated 30-10-91 bearing No. 28/41/91-LAB referred the following dispute for adjudication of this Tribunal.

Whether the Demand made on the management of M/s. Tirumalesh Handling Services, Ponda, by their 63 workmen for lay-off compensation at the rate of 100% of the wages for the period 30-5-1991 to 10-7-1991, is legal and justified?

If not, to what relief the workman are entitled?

2. On receipt of the reference a case was registered under No. IT/49/91 and registered A. D. Notice was issued to the parties. In pursuance to the said notice, the parties put in their appearance. The Union/Party I (for short, 'union') filed statement of claim at Exb. 4. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the Union are that the Union employer/Party-II (for short 'Employer') are the contractors to Zuari Agro Chemicals Ltd. and employ 63 workmen (for short 'Workmen'). That the employer does the work of potash handling of Zuari Agro Chemicals Ltd. That as per the settlement between the union and the employer the workman were entitled to 65% of their wages during the lay-off. That the employer declared lay off during the month of April 91 due to shut down repairs which were to be carried out by Zuari Agro Chemicals Ltd. (for short 'Principal employer') and accordingly the workmen were given lay off w.e.f. 25-4-91 to 30-5-91. That just prior to 30th May, 91, the employer informed the workmen that the lay off was likely to be extended during the shut down. That the workmen objected and informed the employer that they had come to report for work on 31-5-91 as per the lay off notice issued to them. That the employer was

told that if the workmen were not allowed to report for work from 30-5-91 they would be entitled to full wages from 30-5-91 until lay off was lifted and that the workmen should not be made to suffer by disbursement of 65% of wages for the acts of mismanagement and negligence on the part of the employer and the principal employer. That ultimately the lay off was lifted on 11-7-91 and the workmen were permitted to resume work only on 12-7-91. The Union contended that the maintenance work is carried out every year by the principal employer during a specific period for which lay off is given and it is to be completed in time. The Union contended that employer and the principal employer failed in their duty to complete the maintenance work and lift the lay off by 30-5-91 and therefore they are jointly and/or severally responsible and liable to pay 100% wages to the workmen for the lay off period from 30-5-91 till 11-7-91. The Union therefore prayed that the employer be directed to pay 100% of wages to the workmen for the lay off period from 30-5-91 to 11-7-91.

3. The employer filed written statement at Exb. 5 The employer stated that it is a proprietary concern constituted for the limited period and only with the objective of carrying out a contract of feeding potash to the NPK plant of the principal employer M/s. Zuari Agro Chemicals Ltd. w.e.f. 1-8-1990 and the workers engaged by the employer who are unskilled labourers are the members of the union i.e. Goa Trade and Commercial Workers Union. The employer stated that the contract of potash feeding requires to be suspended intermittently for reasons like shut down of the plant for annual maintenance non production of particular grade fertilizer break down of machinery etc. and whenever stoppage takes place as per the instruction of the principal employer the contract is temporarily suspended but the employer is not compensated for the wages of the workmen and therefore the employer is constrained to put his workmen on lay off as he is not in a financial position to sustain the financial burden to pay full wages for the period when they do not perform any work. The employer stated that a settlement was arrived at between the employer and the union under Section 12(3) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 on 4-11-86 whereby employer agreed to pay 65% of wages by way of lay off compensation instead of 50% as prescribed by Section 25-C of the Act and which settlement was in operation and binding on the parties during the months of April and May, 1991. The employer stated that on receipt of the information from the principal employer that their NPK plant will be shut down for maintenance and as such the contract with the employer will be temporarily suspended from 25-4-91 to 12-5-91, the employer put up a notice informing the workmen about the proposed lay off from 25-4-91 to 12-5-91 and they were informed that they will be paid lay off compensation as per the settlement between the union and the employer. The employer stated that on 9-5-91 the principal employer informed the employer that the shut down of NPK plant will be delayed considerably beyond the proposed date of 12-5-91 and therefore the employer had no other alternative but to extend lay off period beyond 12-5-91. The employer stated that by notice dated 9-5-91 the

workers were informed that the lay off commenced on 25-4-91 was extended and that the exact date of lifting of lay off will be informed to them later on the employer stated that the delay in shut down and lifting of lay off was due to the agitation resorted to by the employees of some other contractor operating for the principal employer and the said agitation was at the instance of the union in the present reference. The employer stated that the principal employer informed the employer by 27-5-91 that the production will commence on 30-5-91 and accordingly the employer by notice dated 27-5-91 informed the workmen that the lay off will be lifted from 1st shift of 30-5-91 and asked the workmen to report for work during their respective shift timings and the union was also informed about the same by letter dated 27-5-91. The employer stated that the workman did not report for work as per the instructions from the union and remained away from work from 30-5-91 and the employer was informed by the local committee members that this was done by the workman to press for their demand for payment of 100% wages for the lay off period i.e. from 25-4-91 to 29-5-91. The employer stated that it was brought to the notice of the President of the Union that demand of the workers for full wages during lay off was not legal nor justified as the issue of payment of lay off compensation was settled by the 1986 settlement but the workers did not report for work from 30-5-91. The employer stated that the workers have decided to refuse to accept the employment offered to them and it was in order to force the employer to agree to their demands for full wages for lay off period from 25-4-91 to 29-5-91. The employer stated that the above action on the part of the workers amounted to illegal and unjustified strike which continued from 30-5-91 to 10-7-91. The employer stated that the workers withdrew the strike from 11-7-91 under the settlement dated 10-7-91 arrived at between the employer and the union before the Labour Commissioner, Panaji under Section 12 (3) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The employer stated that from 30-5-91 till 10-7-91 there was no lay off either declared by the employer or otherwise but the workmen remained absent during the said period in order to compel the employer to pay to them lay off compensation at the rate of 100% of wages and therefore the said period cannot be treated as lay off and no compensation became payable to them in terms of any law, settlement or other mode. The employer stated that the union or the workmen did not raise any demand for payment of lay off compensation for the period between 30-5-91 to 10-7-91 either at the rate of 100% of wages or at any other rate. The employer stated that this Tribunal has been asked to decide a matter which does not exist in dispute which means that there is total in application of mind in making the reference and hence this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to decide on a order of reference which is illegal.

4. On the pleadings of the parties following issues were framed at Exb. 6.

1. Does Party II prove that the present reference is bad in law since there was no dispute for demanding 100% lay off compensation by the Union?

2. Does Party II further prove that there was no application of mind by the Government before making this reference?
 3. If yes, whether this Tribunal has jurisdiction to decide this reference?
 4. Does Party I prove that their claim for 100% lay off compensation from 30-5-91 to 10-7-91 is legal and justified?
 5. Does Party II prove that the workers remained absent from 3-5-91 to 10-7-91 although they were offered employment?
 6. What reliefs to Party I, if any?
 7. What award or order?
5. My findings on the issues are as follows:

Issue No. 1: In the negative.

Issue No. 2: In the negative.

Issue No. 3: In the affirmative.

Issue No. 4: In the negative.

Issue No. 5: In the affirmative.

Issue No. 6: Workmen are not entitled to any relief.

Issue No. 7: As per order below.

REASONS

6. Issue Nos. 1, 2, and 3 : All these three issues are taken up together because they are inter-related. In the written statement the employer took the defence that there was no application of mind by the Government before making the reference and this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to decide the reference because dispute referred by the Government was not in existence. The contention of the employer was that the workmen or the Union did not raise any demand on the employer for the payment of lay-off compensation for the period between 30th May, 1991 to 10th July, 1991 either at the rate of 100% of wages or at any other rate. The burden was on the employer to prove the above facts. The Union did not lead any evidence in the matter. The employer examined its proprietor Shri Damodar Shet. He did not state in his evidence that the workmen or the union did not raise demand on the employer for payment of lay-off compensation at the rate of 100% of wages or at any other rate prior to the making of the reference by the Government. The records show that conciliation file No. IRM/CON/(46)/91 was called from the office of the Commissioner of Labour, Panaji. I have gone through the said conciliation file. The said file contains the settlement dated 10th July, 1991 signed between the union and the employer before the Labour Commissioner. The very first clause of the terms of the settlement mentions that the union had demanded 100% of wages for the period from 30-5-91 to the date preceding the resumption of duties and the employer had contended that the workmen are not entitled to the same because they had refused to resume duties in pursuance to their demand for 100% wages and lay-off compensation and

that as there was no agreement reached on this issue failure of conciliation is recorded. This clause of the agreement itself shows that the demand for 100% wages for the period from 30-5-91 was raised against the employer by the union and the employer had refused to concede to this demand of the union. Further in the said file there is a letter dated 22nd July, 1991 written by the employer to the Labour Commissioner. In this letter the employer has referred to above clause (1) of the settlement and has stated that it was not possible for the employer to concede to the union's demand because the workmen had not reported for work. The above evidence therefore prove that the union had raised the demand against the employer for 100% wages for the period from 30-5-91 to 10-7-91 before the reference was made by the Government. This being the case I hold that there is no substance in the contention of the employer that there was no dispute for demanding 100% lay-off compensation by the union and that therefore the reference is bad in law or that there was no application of mind by the Government before making the reference or that this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to decide the reference. I therefore answer the issue Nos. 1, 2 in the negative, and the issue No. 3 in the affirmative.

7. Issue No. 4: The union contended in the claim statement that the workmen continued to be on lay-off from 30th May, 1991 till 10th July, 1991 because of the mis-management and negligence on the part of the employer and the principal employer M/s. Zuari Agro Chemicals Ltd. The union contended that therefore the workmen are entitled to 100% of wages for the above said lay-off period. The employer took the defence that the workmen were not on lay-off from 30th May, 1991 but they did not report for work of their own accord though they were asked to do so, and therefore the demand of the union/workmen is not legal and justified. The burden was on the union to prove the issue No. 4.

8. The reference of the dispute was made by the Government at the request of the Union. It is a settled law that the party who challenges the legality of the order or the action of the employer, the burden lies on that party to prove the illegality of the said order or the action. The Bombay High Court in the case of V. N. S. Engineering Services v/s. Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu reported in FJR Vol. 71 at page 393 has held that the obligation to lead evidence to establish an allegation is on the party making the allegation, the test being that he who does not lead evidence must fail. The High Court further held that the provisions of Rule 10 B of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957 clearly indicates that the party who raises an industrial dispute is bound to prove the contentions raised by him and the Industrial Tribunal would be erring in placing the burden of proof on other party to the reference. The Allahabad High Court in the case of V. K. Raj Industries v/s. Labour Court and Others reported in 1981 (29) FLR 194 has held that if the workman fails to appear or to file written statement or to produce evidence, the dispute referred by the Government cannot be answered in favour of the workman and he would not be entitled to

any relief. Therefore applying the above principles laid down by the Bombay High Court and Allahabad High Court the burden was on the union to prove that its demand for 100% wages to the workmen as lay off compensation for the period from 30-5-1991 to 10-7-91 is legal and justified. However, inspite of the opportunity given the union did not lead any evidence in the matter nor the union has been able to bring anything on record in the evidence of the employer in support of its contentions. I therefore hold that the union has failed to prove that its claim for 100% lay off compensation from 30-5-91 to 10-7-91 is legal and justified. I therefore answer the issue No. 4 in the negative.

9. Issue No. 5: It is the contention of the employer that there was no lay off to the workmen from 30-5-91 to 10-7-91. The contention of the employer is that the workmen were asked to report for work from 30-5-91 but the workmen did not resume duties in order to pressurise the employer to concede to their demand for 100% wages during the lay-off period which had started from 25th April, 1991. The contention of the employer is that the workmen are not entitled to any lay off compensation during the period from 30th May, 1991 to 10th July, 1991 because the workmen had absented themselves of their own accord. As mentioned earlier the union has not led any evidence in the matter inspite of the opportunity given. Only the employer has led evidence by examining its proprietor Shri Damodar Shet. Shri Shet has produced the settlement dated 4th November, 1986 at Exb. 8 signed between the employer and the Union. As per clause 22 of the said settlement the said settlement is binding on the parties for the period upto 30th June, 1989. However, the said clause further states that the said settlement shall continue to bind the parties until terminated by either of the parties as per the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. There is no evidence from the union that the said settlement was terminated after 30th June, 1989. Infact it is not the case of the Union that the said settlement was terminated when the lay off was given to the workmen or at the time when the demand was raised. Therefore in the year, 1991 when the lay off was given to the workmen and at the time when the demand for 100% wages for lay-off period was raised the settlement dated 4th November, 1986 Exb. 8 was in force. As per clause 15 (b) (i) of the settlement the workmen are entitled to wages at the rate of 65% of their Basic+FDA+VDA during the period of lay off and as per clause 15 (b) (ii) the lay off is limited to a total period of 50 days in a calendar year at a stretch or intermitently. In the present case admittedly the lay off had commenced from 25th April, 1991. According to the union the lay off continued even after 30th May, 1991. Even if this is accepted to be correct, as per clause 15(b) (i) and (ii) of the settlement Exb. 8, the workmen were entitled to compensation at the rate of 65% of their wages for 50 days from 25th April, 1991. The period of 50 days would have ended some where on 15th June, 1991. Therefore for the period from 30th May, 1991 till 15th June, 1991 the workmen were entitled to 65% of the wages as lay off compensation and not at the rate of

100% of the wages as demanded by them. The demand of the union for 100% of wages as lay off compensation for the period from 30th May, 1991 to 15th June, 1991 was therefore even otherwise illegal and unjustified. However, the employer's contention is that the workmen are not entitled to any lay off compensation for the period from 30th May, 1991 because there was no lay off from 30th May, 1991 but the workmen absented themselves from work of their own accord.

10. The employer's witness Shri Damodar Shet has stated in his deposition that he was informed by the principal employer that the plant would start functioning from 30-5-91. He has produced the letter dated 26th May, 1991 from the principal employer at Exb. 15. In this letter the principal employer has informed the employer that the plant would start from 30-5-91 and as such the employer should lift lay off from 30-5-91. He has stated that accordingly he displayed a notice on the notice board withdrawing lay off from 30-5-91. He has stated that he sent a letter to the union and a copy of the same to the local committee members. He produced the said letter at Exb. 16. This letter which is addressed to the President of the Union is dated 27-5-91 and it is clearly mentioned in the said letter that the lay off is lifted from the first shift of 30-5-91 and therefore the workmen are advised to report for duty from the first shift of 30-5-91. The said letter shows that the copy of the same was sent to the local committee members and to the principal employer. He has stated that the local committee members informed him that the union had instructed them not to join the duty from 30-5-91 unless full wages are paid for lay off period. He has produced a letter dated 29-5-91 at Exb. 17 written to the President of the union informing him that if the workmen do not resume duties from 30th May, 91 it will be deemed that they were on strike and they will be responsible for the consequences arising thereof. Mr. Shet has stated that on 30-5-91 he went to the factory and found that no worker was present. He has produced the letter dated 30-5-91 at Exb. 18 written to the Labour Commissioner. In this letter the employer has placed before him the entire facts and has sought his assistance in persuading the President of the Union to give instructions to the workmen to join duty immediately. Mr. Shet has also produced the letters at Exb. 19 colly sent to some of the workers by registered post. These letters are dated 1st June, 1991 and 5th June, 1991. In these letters the workers were made aware that they were asked to report for duty from 30-5-91 which they had not done and as such it was deemed that they had abandoned their service with effect from 30th May, 1991. In the cross-examination of Mr. Shet, the union has not been able to bring anything on record in support of their case. The cross-examination consists mostly of suggestions which are denied by the witness. The union denied that the letters dated 1-6-91 and 5-6-91 were sent to the workers mentioned in the said letters. However, the employer has produced the A/D cards alongwith the said letters which prove that the said letters were sent and they were received by the concerned workers. The union did not dispute the letters produced by the employer at Exb. 15, 16, 17, 18 nor the union deny that the letters dated 27-5-91 Exb. 16 and 29-5-91 Exb. 17 were received by the

President of the Union. The union did not deny that the employer had asked the union to advise the workmen to resume work from 30-5-91 because lay off was lifted from that date. In my view the above evidence clearly establishes that the employer had lifted the lay off from 30th May, 1991 and the workmen were asked to report to work from 30-5-91 and that inspite of the same the workmen did not resume their duty from 30-5-91. In the circumstances the contention of the employer that the workmen did not resume duty from 30-5-91 though lay-off was lifted, in order to pressurise the employer to pay to them 100% of the wages for the lay-off period is liable to be believed. The workmen resumed duties from 11-7-91 in terms of the settlement dated 10-7-91 produced at Exb. 20. I therefore hold that the employer has succeeded in proving that the workers remained absent from 30-5-91 to 10-7-91 though they were offered employment by the employer. I therefore answer the issue No. 5 in the affirmative.

11. Issue No. 6: This issue pertains to the relief to which the workmen/union if at all are entitled to. While deciding the issue No. 4 it has been held by me that the union has failed to prove that its demand for 100% of wages as lay-off compensation for the period from 30-5-91 to 10-7-91 is not legal and justified. It has been further held by me that there was no lay-off for the period from 30-5-91 to 10-7-91 but the workers remained absent during the said period though they were offered employment by the employer. In the circumstances I hold that the workmen are not entitled to any relief and hence I answer the issue No. 6 accordingly.

I therefore pass the following Award.

AWARD

It is hereby held that the demand made on the management of M/s. Tirumalesh Handling Services, Ponda, by the 63 workmen for lay - off compensation at the rate of 100% of the wages for the period 30-5-91 to 10-7-91 is not legal and justified. It is hereby held that there was no lay-off from 30-5-91 to 10-7-91 but the workmen remained absent from their duty of their own accord during the above said period. It is hereby further held that the workmen are not entitled to any relief.

No order as to costs. Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(Ajit J. Agni),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal.

Department of Public Health

Order

No. 6/12/2002-III/PHD

On the recommendation of Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/II/

/11/35(2)/2003 dated 3-11-2003, the Government is pleased to promote Dr. Ashutosh G. Prabhu Dessai, Lecturer, to the post of Assistant Professor in Psychiatry in the Institute of Psychiatry and Human Behaviour, on regular basis, with immediate effect, in the pay scale of Rs. 10,000-325-15,200+NPA and other allowances as per the rules.

Dr. Ashutosh G. Prabhu Dessai, shall be on probation for a period of 2 years.

The promotion is made against the vacant post of Assistant Professor in Psychiatry in Institute of Psychiatry and Human Behaviour, caused due to the promotion of Dr. (Mrs.) Hirabai A. Borkar, Assistant Professor, to the post of Associate Professor in Psychiatry, vide Order No. 6/9/2002-III/PHD dated 28-3-2003.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. G. Korgaokar, Under Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 19th November, 2003.

Order

No. 22/5/98-I/PHD

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission vide their letter No. COM/1/5/24(1)/2001/Vol. III dated 9th September, 2003, the Government is pleased to appoint the following doctors as Medical Officers (Group 'A' Gazetted Pay Scale: Rs. 8000-275-13,500+NPA) under the Directorate of Health Services with effect from the dates of joining as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum of even number dated 17-7-2003 and posted as shown against their names.

Sr. No.	Name of the Doctor	Place of posting
1	2	3
1.	Dr. Shripad M. Kamat.	Rural Medical Dispensary, Agonda under Primary Health Centre, Canacona.
2.	Dr. Kedar N. Raikar	Medical Dispensary, Sada under Urban Health Centre, Vasco.
3.	Dr. Deepali Dindalkumpi	Primary Health Centre, Siolim.
4.	Dr. Pramila S. Viegas e Souza	Rural Medical Dispensary, Rivona under Primary Health Centre, Quepem.
5.	Dr. Prajaki S. Karmalkar	Primary Health Centre, Curtorim.
6.	Dr. Uday S. Kakodkar	Rural Medical Dispensary, Dabal under Primary Health Centre, Sanguem.
7.	Dr. Atul D. Paibir	Primary Health Centre, Sankhali.

1	2	3
8. Dr. Rakhi U. Nagarcenkar	Hospicio Hospital, Margao.	
9. Dr. Diksha D. Naik	Community Health Centre, Valpoi	
10. Dr. Rupchand P. Gawade	Rural Medical Dispensary, Guleli under Primary Health Centre, Valpoi.	

The above mentioned doctors shall be on probation for a period of two years. They have been medically examined and found fit by the authorised medical board.

The character and antecedents of the doctors at Sr. No. 2, 3, 4 and 7 have been verified and nothing adverse has been found against them as on date. The appointments of the remaining doctors are subject to the verification of their character and antecedents.

Consequently, the Government is pleased to transfer the below mentioned Medical Officers as shown against their names, with immediate effect and until further orders.

Sr. No.	Name of the Doctor	Present posting	Posted at
1	2	3	4
1.	Dr. Sunanda Kotkar	Hospicio Hospital, Margao	Asilo Hospital, Mapusa.
2.	Dr. Cheryl D'Souza	Asilo Hospital, Mapusa	Family Welfare Bureau, D.H.S., Panaji.
3.	Dr. Gayatri Kulkarni	Rural Medical Dispensary, Dabal	Hospicio Hospital, Margao.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.
S. G. Korgaokar, Under Secretary (Health).
Panaji, 19th November, 2003.

Department of Transport

Directorate of Transport

Corrigendum

No. D.Tpt/EST/PF/2003/2136

Ref: i) Application dated 15-07-03 from Shri Eknath K. Singbal, Asstt. Director of Transport (Enf), South, Margao.

ii) Order No. D.Tpt/EST/PF/2003/1795 dated 06-10-2003.

In the above referred Order, in paras 1 and 3, the date mentioned as 30-09-2003 (A.N.) may read as 01-10-2003 (EN.).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Daulat Hawaldar, Director of Transport & Ex-officio Joint Secretary (Tpt.).

Panaji, 24th November, 2003.

Department of Vigilance

Directorate of Vigilance

Notification

No. 1/2/2000-VIG/3125

In exercise of the powers conferred on him by the schedule to the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965, the Governor of Goa, under Rule 12(2) ibid hereby specifies Dy. Director (Admn.), Education Department, as the ad hoc Disciplinary Authority in r/o Smt. Imelda D'Souza, U.D.C. in place of Director of Education, who though a regular Disciplinary Authority, cannot so function being personally concerned with the charges framed in the Disciplinary proceedings against Smt. Imelda D'Souza.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sanjiv M. Gadkar, Addl. Director (Vigilance).

Panaji, 1st December, 2003.

Department of Water Resources

Office of the Chief Engineer

Order

No. 3/25-15/90-WR/764

Read: 1) Govt. Order No. 3/25-20/85-IRRG dated 18-1-1993.
2) Govt. Order No. 3/25-15/90-WR/589 dated 29-8-2001.
3) Govt. Order No. 3/25-15/90-WR/13 dated 7-4-2003.

Government is pleased to continue the ad hoc promotion of Shri S. V. Prabhavalkar, Executive Engineer/ Surveyor of Works in Water Resources Department ordered and continued vide Government orders referred to above, for further period of 6 months beyond 30-6-2003 i.e. upto 31-12-2003 on the same terms and conditions as stipulated in the aforesaid orders.

This has approval of the Cabinet held on 10-11-2003 and same conveyed by the Secretary (GA) vide No. 17/23/2002-GAD-Cab(XXXIII) dated 14-11-2003.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. D. Sayanak, Chief Engineer (WR) & Ex-officio Addl. Secretary.

Panaji, 21st November, 2003.